## ASE: Writing a forth interpreter from scratch

Pablo de Oliveira <pablo.oliveira@uvsq.fr>

January 18, 2013

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 めへぐ

# Section 1

## Introduction

(ロ) (四) (主) (主) (主) のへで

### Why an embedded Forth interpreter ?

- Forth is minimal: writing a Forth interpreter for a new architecture is simple and fast.
  - A full Forth system can be written in less than 2000 lines of codes.
- Forth is powerful for testing embedded systems:
  - Comes with a REPL (Read-Eval Print Loop), we can test the target interactively.
  - It is very easy to define new words to control the target.

```
LEFT-MOTOR 50 SPEED
2 LED ON
```

```
: TURN-RIGHT ( -- )
RIGHT-MOTOR 0 SPEED
LEFT-MOTOR 50 SPEED
2 WAIT
LEFT-MOTOR 0 SPEED
;
```

Lecture Goal : Building a forth interpreter from scratch !

- Know how to build Forth from scratch starting from assembly.
- We study Richard W.M. Jones's Forth minimal implementation. Most of the code samples in this lecture are borrowed from Jones's Forth. http://git.annexia.org/?p=jonesforth.git

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - つへぐ

Target: x86 architecture, you will port it to ARM !

## Section 2

## The execution model

#### **Execution Model**

- In a forth system there are two kind of words definitions:
  - Native words: these words are written in assembly (or other low level language).
  - Forth words: these words are written in forth by calling other native or forth words.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

> Our execution model needs to be able to execute both kind of words.

#### Call Threaded Code

```
: SQUARE DUP * ;
SQUARE: ( a forth word )
  call DUP
  call MUL
  ret
DUP: ( a native word )
  mov (%esp), %eax
  push %eax
  ret
MUL: ( a native word )
  pop %eax
  pop %ebx
  imull %ebx, %eax
  push %eax
  ret
```

Simple but overhead of call and ret instructions.

#### Direct Threaded Code

Instead of the calls, we store the adresses of the words:

```
: SQUARE DUP * ;

SQUARE:

&DUP

&MUL <-- %esi points to the next word to execute

&EXIT
```

A definition is a list of adresses and not executable. We introduce a new assembly macro NEXT. NEXT is called at the end of each word execution. It jumps to the next word (pointed by %esi) and increments %esi.

NEXT: lodsl // loads (%esi) into eax and increments %esi jmp \*%eax

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

#### Direct Threaded Code

SQUARE: &DUP &MUL &EXIT DUP: mov (%esp), %eax push %eax NEXT MUL: pop %eax pop %ebx imull %ebx, %eax push %eax NEXT

Something is missing:

- How do we start executing SQUARE ?
- How do we call SQUARE from another word ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

#### Direct Threaded Code

```
SQUARE:
 CALL DOCOL-
 &DUP
 &MUL
                                 EXIT:
 &EXIT
             I NEXT:
                                  mov (%ebp), %esi
POW4:
          | lodsl
                                   add $4, %ebp // Restore old IP
 CALL DOCOL | jmp *%eax
                                   NEXT
 &SQUARE ----'
 &SQUARE
 &EXIT
DOCOL:
  sub $4, %ebp
 mov %esi, (%ebp) // Save the old IP on the stack
  add $4, %eax
                  // %eax points to the adress of SQUARE DOCOL
                  // We increment it to point to &DUP
 mov %eax, %esi
  NEXT
```

### Indirect Threaded Code

- Direct Threaded Code
  - Overhead of one call at the start of each Forth word.
  - Cache usage is non-optimal because we mix data and code.
  - Still very fast and simple.
- Indirect Threaded Code
  - We add one level of indirection:

We replace:

SQUARE:		SQUARE:
CALL DOCOL		&DOCOL
&DUP	with	&DUP
&MUL		&MUL
&EXIT		&EXIT

- Reduces a bit the code size at the cost of an indirection.
- Does not mix code and data.

#### Execution Model Conclusion

- The execution model specifies how forth words are executed.
- Jones's Forth uses Indirect Threaded Code as most forths.
- ITC works exactly as DTC but with an extra level of indirection:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - つへぐ

# Section 3

# Literals

(ロ) (四) (主) (主) (主) つくで

### Literals

Idea: use special word LIT. LIT will push 2 in the stack and skip 2.

DOUBLE: &DOCOL &LIT 2 &MUL &EXIT

### Literals

DOUBLE: &DOCOL &LIT 2 &MUL &EXIT

How is LIT implemented ?

```
LIT:
lodsl // read literal (pointed by %esi) into %eax
// and increment %esi
push %eax // push literal into the stack
NEXT
```

## Section 4

Dictionary



### The Dictionary

- In Forth words are kept into a Dictionary.
- It is a linked list:





```
Native (assembly) words : DUP
```



```
mov (%esp), %eax
push %eax
NEXT
```

How to get the code address of an entry ?

▶ To get the code address of an entry we usa the >CFA word.



The implementation of CFA is simple, the only complication is calculating the padding size to skip. Left as an exercise for the reader !

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と … ヨ

## How to find an entry ?

- ► FIND (name? address).
- FIND start at latest, and traverses the linked list.
- For each entry it compares the name of the entry with name?. If they match, FIND returns the address of the entry.
- The code is simple.

```
// Compare the length
    xor %eax,%eax
    movb 4(%edx),%al // length field
    cmpb %cl,%al // Length is the same?
    jne 2f // Not the same
```

#### How to find an entry ?

```
push %ecx // Save the length
push %edi // Save the address (repe cmpsb will move thi
lea 5(%edx),%esi // Dictionary string we are checking against.
repe cmpsb // Compare the strings.
pop %edi
pop %ecx
jne 2f // Not the same.
// The strings are the same - return the header pointer in %eax
mov %edx, %eax
pop %esi
ret
```

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆目 > ◆目 > ○目 ○ のへで

2: mov (%edx),%edx // Move to the previous word jmp 1b // .. and loop.

# Section 5

Native Words



#### Adding native words to our forth

- Before writing forth words in forth we need to add a set of primitive native words.
- ▶ DUP, DROP, SWAP, OVER, ROT, +, \*, /MOD, =, <, 0=, etc...
- Jones's forth uses an assembly macro to add words to the dictionary:
  - The macro adds a link to the address of the previous word (LINK).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - つへぐ

- It updates LINK with the new word's address.
- It adds the len and name field.

```
defcode "DUP",3,,DUP
mov (%esp),%eax // Read top of the stack in %eax
push %eax // Push %eax on the stack
NEXT
```

#### Adding native words to our forth

EXERCICE: Give assembly implementation of

- DROP: drops the first element of the stack.
- OVER: reads the second element of the stack and pushes it to the top.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

- +: adds the top two elements of the stack.
- ! (data address –): write data at address
- @ (address data): reads data at address

#### Adding native words to our forth

```
defcode "DROP",4,,DROP
 pop %eax
 NEXT
defcode "OVER",5,,OVER
 mov 4(%esp), %eax
 push %eax
 NEXT
defcode "+",1,,ADD
 pop %eax
  add %eax, (%esp)
 NEXT
defcode "!",1,,STORE
                      defcode "@",1,,FETCH
  pop %ebx // address
                         pop %ebx // address
 pop %eax // data
                         mov (%ebx), %eax
 mov %eax, (%ebx)
                       push %eax
 NEXT
                          NEXT
```

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

# Section 6

10

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ● ●

### Input Output

- ▶ KEY ( c ) : Reads a character from stdin.
- ▶ EMIT ( c ) : Writes a character to stdout.
- WORD ( addr length ) : Reads the next word from stdin and stores it into the stack as (address, length)
- ▶ NUMBER ( n) : Reads a number from stdin.
- In Jones's forth these are implemented in assembly (< 100 lines). We do not discuss their implementation here, but feel free to check it out !

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - つへぐ

## Section 7

## Branching

## Branching BRANCH, 0BRANCH

BRANCH and 0BRANCH are like LIT, they are followed by a NUMBER. In this case, the number represents a jump offset.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

- BRANCH OFFSET ( ) : Increments the IP
- OBRANCH OFFSET ( cond ) : If cond is 0, increment

```
defcode "BRANCH",6,,BRANCH
add (%esi), %esi
NEXT
defcode "OBRANCH",7,,ZEROBRANCH
pop %eax // Read cond
test %eax, %eax
jz BRANCH
lodsl // Otherwise skip the offset
NEXT
```

## Summary until now

- First, we decided to use Indirect threaded code. We implemented NEXT, DOCOL and EXIT.
- ▶ Next, we implemented LIT to mix code and data in a word definition.
- Then, we defined the dictionary structure and added Native assembly words.
- > Until now everything is hardcoded. Now we get into compiling new words !

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - つへぐ

## Section 8

## Compiling new words

, is a forth word that stores the top of the stack at HERE and increments  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HERE}}$  .

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モート ・ モー・ うへぐ

defcode ",",1,,COMMA
 pop %eax // Get the top of the stack
 mov HERE, %edi // Load HERE address in %edi
 stosl // Store the top of the stak in %edi
 mov %edi, HERE // Update HERE address
 NEXT

## CREATE

 CREATE takes a string name on the stack and creates a new dictionary entry on the user memory.

mov %cl, %al // Read the length
stosb // Store the length

## CREATE

```
push %esi  // Save %esi
mov %ebx, %esi  // Put the address of the name in %esi
rep movsb  // Store the name
pop %esi  // Restore %esi
add $3, %edi
and $~3, %edi  // Compute padding size
mov HERE, %eax  // Update variables
mov %eax, LATEST
mov %edi, HERE
NEXT
```

(□) (□) (Ξ) (Ξ) (Ξ) (□)

#### Compile and Immediate mode

- The forth interpreter usually is in immediate mode. It reads words from stdin and executes them.
- We can use a special word [ to get into compile mode. In compile mode the interpreter reads words from stdin but writes their address to HERE.
- To get out of compile mode, we use ].
- Some words are flagged as IMMEDIATE. IMMEDIATE words are always executed, both in compile and immediate modes.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モート ・ モー・ うへぐ

The current mode is stored in a global variable STATE

```
defcode "[",1,F_IMMED,LBRAC
  mov $0, STATE
  NEXT
defcode "]",1,F_IMMED,RBRAC
  mov $1, STATE
  NEXT
```

""' word gets the address of the next word on the stack. So for example ' SQUARE will return the CFA of SQUARE.

defcode "'",1,,TICK WORD FIND >CFA NEXT Now everything is ready to define ":"

COLON:

WORD ( Read the next word into the stack as a string )
CREATE ( Create a new dictionary entry named after the string )
' DOCOL , ( Compile the address of DOCOL )
[ ( Enter compilation mode )
EXIT

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆目 > ◆目 > ○目 ○ のへで

And to end the compilation of a new word we use ";"

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - つへぐ

Why must ";" be IMMEDIATE ?

#### The interpreter

```
INTERPRET : ( in pseudo-code )
  WORD ( Read a word from stdin )
  FIND (Find it in the dictionary)
  TF FOUND
    >CFA ( Get its code address )
    TE IMMEDIATE? or IMMEDIATE MODE
     JMP ( Jump to the code address )
    ELSE
     , ( Compile the code address to HERE )
  ELSE ( Not a word in dictionary )
    IF NUMBER? ( If it is a number )
      NUMBER ( Read the number )
      IF IMMEDIATE MODE
        PUSH NUMBER
      ELSE
        'LIT , , ( Compile LIT number )
    ELSE ERROR
```

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ● ●

# Section 9

The rest

(ロ) (四) (主) (主) (主) つくで

- So, what about the rest ? Where is NEGATE, IF, CONSTANT, VARIABLE, BEGIN UNTIL, and all the other forth words ?
- Now that we bootstraped the compiler, everything else can be written in forth !

## NEGATE

: NEGATE ( n -- -n ) O SWAP - ;

## CONSTANT

CONSTANT is a forth word that creates a new word, here TEN, that pushes 10 on the stack.

(ロ) (回) (E) (E) (E) (O)

10 CONSTANT TEN TEN . CR 10

How can we define CONSTANT in forth ?

### CONSTANT

```
: CONSTANT ( n -- )
   WORD (Read the name)
   CREATE ( Create a new dictionnary entry )
   ' DOCOL , ( Compile DOCOL )
   'LIT, (Compile LIT)
   , ( Compile n )
   ' EXIT , ( Compile EXIT )
 ;
Calling 10 CONSTANT TEN will compile the following entry:
I L.T.NK
       | 3 | T | E | N | DOCOL | LIT | 10 | EXIT |
```

(ロ) (同) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ) (マ)

### **BEGIN UNTIL**

```
: count ( n -- ) BEGIN 1- DUP . DUP 0= UNTIL ;
10 count 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0
```

How to define BEGIN and UNTIL ?

## **BEGIN UNTIL**

, ;

```
ERE @ - ( Compute offset )
( Compile the offset )
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで